

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,284	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARAKI, KATSUMI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Fiona T. Powers	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Sunhee Lee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 March 2008
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 9 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiner contacted Ms. Lee to inform her that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1) claim 1, line 7, delete "where" and insert -or- and line 9, insert -unsubstituted or substituted- before "amino"; 2) claim 9, delete "comprising" and insert -of-; and 3) claim 11, page 23, lines 4 and 5, delete "scopes" and insert -definition-. It was agreed that claims 1, 9 and 11 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

